

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/687,066	10/16/2003	Jason A. Morris	GLAD-003XX	8904
207	7590 10/20/2005		EXAM	INER
	TEN, SCHURGIN, GAG	NGUYEN, HUNG T		
	TEN POST OFFICE SQUARE BOSTON, MA 02109	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2001011, 11			2636	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/687,066	MORRIS, JASON A.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	HUNG T. NGUYEN	2636
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be divil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	September 2005.	
<u> </u>	nis action is non-final.	·
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matters, p	prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 October 2003 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objected by a displaying one drawing (s) be held in abeyance. So action is required if the drawing (s) is one	tee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		10 7 totton of 10 mm 1 10 - 102.
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Application ority documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	
OL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office A	Action Summary F	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20051018

Application/Control Number: 10/687,066 Page 2

Art Unit: 2636

DETAILED ACTION

1. The remarks & arguments filed on Sept. 20, 2005 have been considered in the following:

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. claims 1-12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of Gladstone et al. (U.S. 6,531,960) which is patented on March 11, 2003. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to one the ordinary person skill in the art to as both the application and the patent are directed to a tire pressure indication device comprising a housing having multi parts for connection as transparent portions / clear plastic material; a base is mounted to the housing; at least two colors as yellow, orange or other bright colors for monitoring the tire pressure is above and below predetermined threshold limits; the low

Application/Control Number: 10/687,066

Art Unit: 2636

tire pressure indicator connects with multi parts as cam mechanism, piston, liner spring,

Page 3

retainer, dome display to provide at least two colors as yellow, orange or other bright

colors for monitoring the tire pressure is above and below predetermined threshold

limits at all time as signaling the safety indication portions are used to indicate that the

pressure is above the threshold limit and the warning portions are used to indicate that

the pressure is below the threshold limit.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hung T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2982. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 am to

5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Hofsass, Jeffery can be reached on (571) 272-2981. The fax phone number

for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 305-4700.

HUNG NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examine. Hung\T.

Date:

Oct. 17, 2005